UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,444	06/02/2006	Matthias Witschel	3165-146	6606
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			BIANCHI, KRISTIN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		444	WITSCHEL ET AL.				
		er	Art Unit				
	KRISTIN	I BIANCHI	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	E MAILING DATE OF T ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. In statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the apply and the after the mailing date of this communication.	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 06/02/2006						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final					
3) Since this application is in conditi	<i>′</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the pra	•	•		5 monto lo			
·	otios ariasi Ex parto s	, ady, 6, 1000 0. 5 . 11, 10	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-15</u> is/are pe	ending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-15</u> are subj	ect to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by	the Evaminer						
		a)□ objected to by the i	Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some complete Copies of the prior 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office and	rity documents have be rity documents have be es of the priority docun ational Bureau (PCT Ri	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/O		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 and 13-15 are pending in the instant application and are subject to the following lack of unity requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-5, 9, 10, and 15, drawn to compounds and compositions of formula I.

Group II, claims 6 and 7, drawn to processes for preparing compounds of formula I.

Group III, claim 8, drawn to compounds of formula III.

Group IV, claims 11, 13 and 14, drawn to a method for controlling unwanted vegetation which involves the use of compounds of formula I.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I and III consist of compounds (and compositions) of different formulas (i.e. I and III) which do not have a common core structure within the chemical structure

of each compound. For example, the compounds of the formula I contain a group

Art Unit: 1626

whereas the compounds of the formula III contain a L1 group. Therefore, there is not a special technical feature present which links the claims as defined by PCT Rule 13.2.

Accordingly, Groups I-IV are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1626

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Election of Species

Applicant is further required to elect a single disclosed species within the elected group and to provide the structure of the elected species. For example, if Group I is elected for prosecution, Applicant must disclose a single compound of formula I. A single disclosed species is also required if any of Groups II-IV is elected for prosecution.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: the various structurally different compounds of formulas I and III (i.e. a compound of formula I or III wherein R11 is hydrogen versus a compound of formula I or III wherein R11 is heterocyclyl is structurally different).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: claims 1-7, 9-11 and 13-15 correspond to the compounds (and compositions) or species of formula I and claim 8 corresponds to the compounds or species of formula III.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-11 and 13-15.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: a compound of formula I or III wherein R11 is hydrogen is structurally different than a compound of formula I or III wherein R11 is heterocyclyl and these two compounds are, therefore, different species or they lack the same core structure or special technical feature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KRISTIN BIANCHI whose telephone number is (571)270-5232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,444 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kamal A Saeed,/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 Kristin Bianchi Examiner Art Unit 1626
